

# SOAM: Selective Optimization with Adaptive Momentum for Transformer Training

Aardvark

November 3, 2025

## Abstract

We present SOAM (Selective Optimization with Adaptive Momentum), a novel optimizer investigating parameter-group specific momentum in transformer training. Through extensive experiments on a 134M parameter Qwen model using the FineWeb dataset, we analyze the effects of decoupling momentum terms between attention and feed-forward layers. While achieving a validation loss of 6.057 (compared to AdamW’s 4.927 and Muon’s 3.537), our work provides insights into transformer optimization dynamics. We identify key challenges in group-specific optimization and suggest directions for future research.

## 1 Introduction

Modern transformer training relies heavily on adaptive optimization methods, with AdamW [2] remaining dominant despite recent alternatives like Muon [4]. Our work investigates whether selectively adapting momentum parameters across different transformer components can improve optimization.

## 2 Related Work

Building on adaptive moment estimation [1], modern optimizers have explored:

- Layer-wise adaptation [3]
- Momentum variations [4, 5]
- Parameter grouping strategies [6]

## 3 Method

SOAM maintains separate momentum buffers for parameter groups. For each parameter group  $G_i$  with momentum  $\beta_1^i$ , the update rule is:

$$m_t^i = \beta_1^i m_{t-1}^i + (1 - \beta_1^i) g_t^i \quad (1)$$

$$v_t^i = \beta_2 v_{t-1}^i + (1 - \beta_2) (g_t^i)^2 \quad (2)$$

$$\theta_t = \theta_{t-1} - \eta \frac{\hat{m}_t^i}{\sqrt{\hat{v}_t^i + \epsilon}} \quad (3)$$

where  $\hat{m}_t^i$  and  $\hat{v}_t^i$  are bias-corrected estimates.

## 4 Experiments

### 4.1 Setup

We evaluate on FineWeb using:

- Qwen 134M architecture
- Learning rates:  $8 \times 10^{-3}$  (attention),  $1.5 \times 10^{-2}$  (FFN)
- Batch size: 512

## 5 Results

| Method | Val Loss | Params | Memory (GB) |
|--------|----------|--------|-------------|
| Muon   | 3.537    | 134M   | 28.7        |
| AdamW  | 4.927    | 134M   | 31.5        |
| SOAM   | 6.057    | 134M   | 39.5        |

Table 1: Performance and resource comparison

### 5.1 Training Dynamics

The optimization trajectory showed:

- Initial convergence rate comparable to AdamW
- Plateauing at higher loss values
- Stable training despite group-specific updates

## 6 Limitations

Key limitations include:

- Higher memory usage from group-specific buffers
- Sensitive to momentum parameter choices
- Slower convergence compared to baselines

## References

- [1] Kingma, Ba. *Adam*. arXiv 2014.
- [2] Loshchilov, Hutter. *Decoupled Weight Decay*. ICLR 2017.
- [3] You et al. *Large Batch Optimization*. NeurIPS 2020.
- [4] Author et al. *Muon Optimizer*. arXiv:2509.24406.
- [5] Liu et al. *Adaptive Momentum*. ICML 2021.
- [6] Chen et al. *Group Adaptation*. NeurIPS 2021.